



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/090,719	03/04/2002	Thomas M. Shea	STM-17402/01	4808

7590 02/03/2003

Douglas J. McEvoy
Gifford, Krass, Groh, Sprinkle,
Anderson & Citkowski, P.C.
280 North Old Woodward, Suite 400
Birmingham, MI 48009

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

SCHULTERBRANDT, KOFIA

[REDACTED] ART UNIT

[REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

3632

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_____ SHEA, THOMAS M.
	Examiner	Art Unit	

Kofi A. Schulterbrandt
3632

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 March 2002.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1, 2 and 3-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 3-12 and 16-18 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 March 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
_____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This first Office Action is in response to Applicant's originally filed Application in this case.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7, 9, 10, 12 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 7, 9, 10, 12 and 16-18 recite the limitation "said a foot", "said foot support", "said foot support", "the pair of threaded bolts", "said foot support", "said foot support" and "the pair of threaded bolts" respectively in lines 2, 3, 4, 2, 3, 4, 2 respectively. There appears to be insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims. Correction or clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shea (5,957,422), in view of Valiulis (5,346,166). Shea teaches each feature of the claimed invention except a support arm extending in a coplanar and parallel fashion relative to the lengthwise extending wall as shown in the attached red marked-up copy of Shea's Figure 9. Valiulis, however teaches such a support arm as shown in the attached marked-up copy of Valiulis' Figures 1 and 3. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have modified Shea to include the arm as taught by Valiulis in order to hang merchandise as taught by Valiulis.

Regarding claim 2, the arm of Valiulis has a planar elongated shape.

Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shea (5,957,422), in view of Valiulis (5,346,166) and Leonard (6,006,929). Shea and Valiulis teach each feature of the claimed invention as discussed above including an aperture in Valiulis' support arm. Furthermore, Valiulis' support arm can be axially extended by placing its support arms in various sets of holes. Neither Shea nor Valiulis teach a product support portion suspended from an "S" shaped hook suspended from an aperture in the support arm. Leonard, however, teaches these deficient features. Leonard teaches a product support portion suspended from an "S" shaped hook. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have substituted Leonard's hook and product support for Valiulis' product support (12) by hooking Leonard's product support into Valiulis' aperture in order to display products at varied angles as taught by Leonard.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 7-12 and 16-18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kofi A. Schulterbrandt whose telephone number is (703) 306-0096. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie A. Braun can be reached on (703) 308-2156. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/090,719
Art Unit: 3632

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

KS
Kofi Schulterbrandt
January 27, 2003

L.A.B.
LESLIE A. BRAUN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER